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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aimed to assess the current community’s perception status of dengue fever in the (Yuhana Abad) slum area of Lahore.

Method: A quantitative cross-sectional study was conducted in Yuhana Abad, a suburb of Lahore, Pakistan. It is the largest majority Christian area in the city with about 200,000 inhabitants. To determine the perception of people a structured questionnaire was used to collect data and 384 households selected through the convenience sampling technique were interviewed.

Result: Male participation in the study was 67% and female 33%, among both gender participants. The literate percentage was 74% and the illiterate 26%. 76% of people knew about dengue fever transmission, 80% knew the consequences of the disease, 64% knew the signs and symptoms, and 90% knew the most frequent mosquito bite time and prevention of the epidemic of dengue fever were good.

Conclusion: We have found a prevalence of sufficient knowledge in our sample population based on knowledge of dengue. However, isolated knowledge on signs and symptoms, mode of transmission, and prevention are adequate, with preventive measures mainly focused on protection from mosquito bites by using mosquito mat/coil/liquid vaporizers.
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1. BACKGROUND

“Dengue fever (DF) is an acute mosquito-borne viral illness of sudden onset with prostration, severe muscle and joint pain, headache, fever, swollen glands and rash” [1]. “Mosquitoes become infected when they bite infected humans, and transmit that infection to other people they bite. There are two main species of mosquito, *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus*, are responsible for all cases of dengue transmitted” [2].

“The extrinsic incubation period in mosquitoes is 8 to 12 days depending on environmental factors and intrinsic incubation period in humans is 3 to 14 days with an acute febrile phase of infection lasting 3 to 7 days” [3].

“Vector borne diseases are of public health importance and are still fatal to human mankind. Their incidence is increasing day by day. There are multiple reasons for this increased incidence in tropical and temperate climate countries, some of which are increased amount of urbanization with changed living conditions, virus evolution uncontrolled vectors, and gigantic international travel. Urbanization could be one of the most important factors among above mentioned factors. The travel from one place to another place allows the easy spread of vectors borne infections” [4].

“Globally dengue is emerging as a serious public health problem with 2.5 billion people at risk and 50 million infections occurring annually including 400,000 cases of dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF)” [5]. “Dengue is the most rapidly spreading mosquito borne viral disease in the world. During the last 50 years, incidence has increased 30-fold with increasing geographic expansion and environmental factors to new countries and in the present decade from urban to rural settings” [6]. “There has been an increase of 110 million in the number of persons living in urban areas of the world with a high risk of dengue. Approximately 975 million people live in the urban areas, that is almost half of the global population estimated to be at risk of dengue fever infection” [7].

“During 2007, Indonesia reported 127,687 cases of dengue fever. Epidemics of DF and DHF start in major cities and spread geographically outwards to rural areas. Low herd immunity, increased mobility, high population density, air travel, and ineffective control programs are thought to have contributed to the reemergence of the virus in south Asia. The epidemic in Brazil between January and April, 2008 resulted in more than 120,000 reported cases, including 647 cases of DHF and 48 deaths” [8].

“DF is a mosquito borne infection in humans and in recent years it presented as a major international public health problem. The World Health Organization (WHO) announced dengue and dengue hemorrhagic fever to be endemic in South Asia. The dengue fever is now endemic in more than 100 countries in Africa, South-east Asia, the Western Pacific, the Eastern Mediterranean, and Americas. South-east Asia and the Western Pacific are the most seriously affected” [9]. “Eastern Mediterranean Region with only 8% of the global population contributes to 11% of the global burden of vector-borne diseases” [10]. “DF is present in both tropical and subtropical areas around the world but tropical areas are more affected” [11]. “DF is caused by four closely-related but serologically-distinct dengue viruses DENV-1, DENV-2, DENV-3 and DENV-4” [12]. “All four dengue viruses are circulating in Asia, Africa and the Americas” [7].

“Many regions are undergoing unplanned urban growth and are lacking water supply, waste disposal and proper drainage, which have created suitable conditions for mosquitoes to breed” [13].

“Globally epidemiology of dengue and DHFIs changing fast” [14]. “The main challenge is prevention and repression of the disease. In the absence of a vaccine, vector control remains the only method for prevention. The most widely method of vector control has been source reduction that is, the elimination of places where the mosquito can lay eggs and larviciding” [15]. “Prevention is usually carried out together with community involvement, education, and mass media campaigns” [16].

“Dengue infection has been known to be endemic in India for over two centuries. In recent years, the disease has changed its course manifesting in the severe form as DHF and with increasing frequency of outbreaks. Delhi, a city in North India, has experienced seven outbreaks of dengue virus infection since 1967 with the last reported in 2003” [17]. “The 1996 epidemic in India was mainly due to the virus dengue-2” [18].

Pakistan is at high risk of being hit by large epidemics because of many over crowded cities, lack of awareness, inadequate sanitation, and
A large number of refugees. These conditions promote the spread of infectious diseases and consequently every year a large number of epidemics/outbreaks occur in different parts of the country, which result in increased morbidity and mortality.

“Aedes mosquitoes usually bite during the day time, be sure to take precautions not to bite, especially during early morning hours before daybreak and in the late afternoon before evening, when outdoors in an area where dengue fever has been present” [19].

“Lahore the second largest city of Pakistan is the economic hub of Punjab with estimated population of 10,000,000” [20]. “A significant proportion of the people are living in the slum areas, where they don’t have proper food, health, sanitation, living and education facilities. Public health experts mostly focus in the urban area and ignore the population residing in the slum areas. The present study will be undertaken among the general population residing in slum area. Yuhana Abad located on Ferozpur road is a suburb of Lahore, Pakistan. It is the largest majority Christian area in the city with about 200,000 inhabitants” [21].

“Huma Khawar reported in 2011, DF in Pakistan is rapidly assuming the proportions of an epidemic, specifically in the central province of Punjab and its capital Lahore where, in September 2011, more than 131 people were reported dead and, according to the Punjab Health Department; over 12,000 people have been infected since January this year. However, this season, nearly 500 new dengue cases were reported across the Punjab, with over 300 in Lahore alone” [22].

After the literature review, we identified that there are many areas of interests where we can study. The factors involved in a specific study area may differ from the other. We cannot apply all the factors to the other area. The results of different studies were diverse. The different studies have for different and specific area with overlapping the study variables.

1.1 Rationale

“In 2011 there was an outbreak of ‘Dengue fever’ in Pakistan including Punjab and especially in the city of Lahore. Reported 17,431 cases in Lahore out of 19,688 confirmed dengue cases in Punjab” [23]. “Provincial Disaster Management Authority Punjab has started a comprehensive program named Epidemic Prevention Program” [24].

The purpose of this study was to assess the current community perception status of dengue fever in slum area of Lahore.

1.2 Research Question

What is the perception of community regarding dengue fever in general population of Lahore.

1.3 Objectives

1. To determine knowledge of people to the modes of dengue fever spread
2. To determine community knowledge of preventive measures for dengue fever
3. To compare the educated and uneducated community knowledge of dengue fever

1.4 Dengue Fever Cases Summary Reports Punjab, 2011

Chart 1 Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dengue cases</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of suspected cases</td>
<td>286,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of confirmed cases</td>
<td>19,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of admitted cases</td>
<td>1,353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of cured cases</td>
<td>16,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of reported deaths</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. District wise status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attock</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>M.B. Din</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Nagar</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Mianwali</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahawalpur</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Multan</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhakkar</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Muzaffargarh</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chakwal</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NanakanaSb</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chioniot</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Narowal</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.G. Khan</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Okara</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faisalabad</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>Pakpattan</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujranwala</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>R.Y. Khan</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujrat</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Rajaipur</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hafizabad</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Rawalpindi</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhang</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Sahiwal</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhelum</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Sargodha</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasur</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Sheikhpura</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khanewal</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Sialkot</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khushab</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>T.T. Singh</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lahore</td>
<td>17,431</td>
<td>Vehari</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layyah</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Other Province</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodhran</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Islamabad</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>19,688</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Fig. 1. Punjab province: Summary of dengue fever cases as of Oct 3 2011
Fig. 2. Summary of town wise dengue fever cases

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Design
This study was quantitative cross sectional.

2.2 Study Site
The study was conducted in the slum area of District Lahore.

2.3 Duration of Study
This study was conducted in three months after the approval of research proposal.

2.4 Study Population
The general population both male and female were Slum area inhabitants.

2.5 Data Collection Tool
A structured questionnaire was used to collect data.

2.6 Sampling Technique
Convenience sampling.

2.7 Sample Size
The following formula [25] was used to determine the sample size for target population:

\[ n = \frac{Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 \cdot P(1-P) \cdot N}{d^2(N-1) + Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 \cdot P(1-P)} \]

Where

- \( N \) = Number to sample
- \( Z_{1-\alpha/2}^2 = (1.96) \) 2 for 95% confidence (i.e. \( \alpha = 0.05 \))
- \( P \) = “Best guess” for prevalence (e.g. ±0.50)
- \( d^2 \) = Maximum tolerable error for the prevalence estimate (e.g. ±0.50)

\( n \approx 384 \)
Table 2. Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-demographics variables</th>
<th>Study variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o Age</td>
<td>o Causative agent of dengue fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Gender</td>
<td>o Transmission of disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Literacy status</td>
<td>o Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Condition of house</td>
<td>o Sign and symptoms of disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Prevention and control of Dengue/Dengue hemorrhagic fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Breeding places of vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>o Vector control measures</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7.1 Inclusion criteria

All residing population of both gender and age between 18 to 60 years, slum area of Lahore

2.7.2 Exclusion criteria

The resident of the slum area of Lahore residing for less than one year.

2.8 Data Collection Procedure

Principal investigator collected the data on structured questionnaire through door-to-door visit. Structured questionnaire was translated into Urdu language. The questionnaire was pre tested in the selected area before collecting the data.

2.9 Data Analysis Plan

2.9.1 Data entry

Data was entered and using SPSS 16 performed Descriptive analysis.

2.9.2 Data cleaning

All the data collected from the selected study area was entered, frequencies for each variable was run to detect error. The database was cleaned as for as possible using the original raw study Proforma. The final cleaned database was then be used for analysis.

2.9.3 Data analysis

Frequencies for all the variables cross tabulations and comparison was carried out between existing and defined values.

2.9.4 Limitations

1. Variables with small number of observations made aggregate data.
2. Due to time and budget constraints conveniently respondents were interviewed.

3. RESULTS

Data collection tool consisted of structured questionnaire, which was developed to check the community knowledge of dengue fever in Yuhana Abad, slum area of Lahore. Investigator and his team members collected data. Key respondents in this study were the general population of both gender, selected slum area with age between 18 to 60 years.

Fig. 3. Gender wise distributions

Male participation in this study was 67% and female 33%
Regarding general appearance of households, 16% lived in Kacha houses, 59% in Pacca and 25% participants lived in mix (Kacha, Pacca) houses.

The education status of the participants was 26% non formal, 24 % primary, 23% secondary and 27% above than secondary.

Regarding causative agent of dengue fever, 17% respondents said virus and 83% don't know about the causative agent of dengue fever.

The Fig. 7 shows, that 91% respondents know that dengue fever is transferable and other 9% don’t know transfer of dengue fever.
Fig. 7. Is dengue fever communicable?

Fig. 8. Mode of transmission of dengue fever

The Fig. 8 shows that the mode of transmission of dengue fever due to mosquito bite is 16.9%, 4.7% with drinking dirty water and 4.2% don’t know, 18.8% by mosquito bite, 3.4% by drinking dirty water and 1.6% don’t know, 19% by mosquito bite, 2.6% by drinking dirty water and 1.3% don’t know. 21.4% by mosquito bite, 4.2% by drinking dirty water and 2.1% don’t know with the education status Non formal education, primary, secondary and above respectively.

This Fig. 9 shows that 91.15% respondents know and only 8.85% don’t know the sign and symptoms of dengue fever.
The Fig. 10 shows that the respond regarding sign and symptoms of dengue fever is 64.30% fever, 10.40% severe eye pain, 7% joint pain, 3% rashes on body, 4% mild bleeding, 11% low platelet count and 0.30% red spots on skin.

The Fig. 11 shows the knowledge about dengue fever treatment which represent the behaviour of respondents, 5% avoid medicine containing aspirin, 7% drinking plenty of fluids, 45% consult a physician, 26% immediate visit hospital, 4% traditional medicine, 3% faith healer and 10% others.

This Fig. 12 illustrates that 384 sample size, recovery due to dengue fever by education.
status is 20.10%, 18.80%, 18.80% and 22.90% respectively. Like that disability is 1.80%, 2.30%, 1% and 1.30% answered by Non formal education, primary, secondary and above respectively. Death is 3.90%, 2.60%, 3.10% and 3.40% answered by Non formal education, primary, secondary and above respectively.

This Fig. 13 shows that the outbreak of dengue occur due to dengue mosquito is 68%, drinking dirty water 10%, stagnant water 2%, plants/vegetation 10%, garbage/trash 8% and 2% don’t know.

Regarding this Fig. 14, 46% prevent epidemic of dengue fever through coordinate community efforts, 34% through control of mosquito and 20% through eliminate the breeding sites of dengue mosquito.

![Fig. 13. Where can outbreak of dengue fever occur?](image1)

![Fig. 14. How prevent epidemic of dengue fever?](image2)

![Fig. 15. Most frequent bite time of dengue mosquito](image3)
Table 3. How will take care of dengue patient?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education status</th>
<th>How will you take care of dengue patient?</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As a normal fever patient</td>
<td>Avoid direct contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non formal education</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Fig. 15 illustrate that the 35% mosquito bite time is sunset, 28% sunrise, 23% morning, 12% night and 2% don’t know the mosquito bite time.

This table illustrates that 7% Non-formal educated persons take dengue patient as a normal fever patient, 8.6% avoid direct contact and 10.2% isolate the patient. 8.6% primary educated persons take dengue patient as a normal fever patient, 5.5% avoid direct contact and 9.6% isolate the patient. 10.1% secondary educated persons take dengue patient as a normal fever patient, 5.2% avoid direct contact and 7% isolate the patient. 14.1% above educated persons take dengue patient as a normal fever patient, 5.2% avoid direct contact and they took it as a contagious disease and 8.3% isolate the patient.

4. DISCUSSION

Aziz Bhatti town is a major slum area of Lahore’s Community participation play very significant role in the prevention and control of infectious diseases. Community can participate only when it has awareness.

“Respondents showed some similarities in the basic demographics. Education level for the total sample did not reflect the national average since a significant proportion (~80%) of Pakistani population is considered illiterate” [26]. However, sample comprised 26% of illiterate people, while 76% literate and overall the sample could be considered representative for the slum area.

“It was observed that all of the respondents had heard of the word “dengue”. Even though many respondents were familiar with dengue being a communicable disease, which spreads by mosquito vector, yet several misconceptions were identified. According to WHO guidelines on dengue” (2), “the Aedes aegypti mosquito typically bites during the day. A considerable proportion of respondents 76% know the mode of transmission pattern of dengue fever. This is most likely due to high level of media campaigns against dengue mosquito in Pakistan, the knowledge about which is generalized to the dengue mosquito by the common person. Despite the fact that majority of the people had heard about dengue somewhere, a small proportion did possess deficiencies in their knowledge about the disease. A large number of people 91% considered dengue to be contagious or transmissible and only 9% were not sure whether it has person-to-person transmission. These findings are consistent with similar studies done in the South Asian region” [27-28].
Our sample showed considerably good knowledge about the symptoms, 64.3% identified the most common symptom of fever, 10.4% severe eye pain, 7% joint pain, 4% rashes, 11% low platelets count and 0.3% red spots on the body correctly accounted. Adequate knowledge on dengue symptoms has been reported in similar studies done in India and Brazil [27]. Based on these findings, one could propose that dissemination of knowledge about symptoms was sufficient and effective. Knowledge about the treatment of dengue fever was also prevalent. 5% of respondents replied, avoid medicine-containing aspirin, 7% drinking plenty of fluid, 45% consult a physician and 26% immediate visit hospital as being important, the majority were aware.

Preventive measures preferred were use of mosquito sprays and coils. Several studies have reported these methods to be most effective means of prevention [29]. Preventive measures, indoor residual spray, mosquito mat/coil/liquid vaporizer, mosquito net, cleaning house, cleaning of garbage, covering of body with clothes, use of fan, smoke to drive away mosquito, prevent stagnant water, eradication of breeding sites of mosquito, improved water storage, eliminate artificial containers hold water, eradicate items store water and rain water, pet and animal watering containers, vases with fresh flowers emptied and cleaned, eliminate automobile tires and eliminate open plastic containers which serves as local breeding sites were popular techniques in use. This is in accordance with studies done in Thailand, which reported a significant reduction of dengue vectors and dengue hemorrhagic fever cases in areas having clean-up campaigns before and during rainy seasons [30].

Domestic water container covers can reduce insecticide densities of dengue vectors and potentially affect dengue transmission. These results displayed that the study population was using adequate preventive methods aimed at controlling both the vector's breeding and its spread.

We asked people where they got their dengue information. The city administration had erected dengue billboards; mass awareness campaigns or steps taken to disseminate information for the general public on dengue, all sources disseminating knowledge about the disease were a recent reaction of the Punjab government and public to the recent outbreak. The sources of information, as well as a thorough understanding of the disease, were provided. The media, including television and newspapers, appeared to play the most important role. Television viewing had increased among the general public, which could explain why the majority considered it a source of information. Newspapers also played a significant role, which contradicts the country's population. Assessing demographic features with the knowledge did lead to particular trends. Level of education, people who had received at least one certificate of education had significantly better knowledge but recently Government of Punjab has started different programs against dengue fever knowledge which improve the knowledge of the community. Dengue had been a cause of concern in Yuhana Abad at the time of our interviews and since media was giving enough airtime to this particular disease, we had assumed a high prevalence of sufficient knowledge in our sample.

Because of the convenience sample, the aforementioned observations may only apply to the research population and cannot be extrapolated to populations from other socioeconomic or cultural backgrounds. In order to conduct the proper specific action for disease control, local studies are required to give the accurate picture of dengue fever awareness. The aim of the study was to evaluate the community perception regarding dengue fever.

5. CONCLUSION

Depending on dengue knowledge, we discovered a prevalence of sufficient knowledge in our sample population. However, isolated knowledge on signs and symptoms, mode of transmission, and prevention is sufficient, with preventive measures primarily focused on mosquito bite protection using mosquito mats/coils/liquid vaporizers. Without the active community participation and enhance the community knowledge, it’s difficult to control the outbreak of dengue fever. A nationally representative survey is required to determine the general public's knowledge and attitudes on dengue and any misconceptions because the evidence from the Pakistani population is limited.
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